

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/730,460           | 12/08/2003  | Aaron G. Arellano    | 02-0466.93          | 2907             |
| 7590 04/07/2006      |             | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PERKINS COIE, LLP    |             |                      | WONG, TINA MEI SENG |                  |
| 101 JEFFERSON DRIVE  |             |                      | ADTIBUT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
| P.O. BOX 2168        |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| MENLO PARK, CA 94025 |             |                      | 2874                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1 | ĸ | 4 |   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | ٧ | Ĵ | ' |
|   | Ĭ | • |   |

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence   | 10/730,460   | ARELLANO, AARON G.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tina M. Wong   | 2874   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M  | arch 2006.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This   | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | i3 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj                                      | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                        |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment/e)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Contact the discrete statement of the demandary of the paper No(s) of the paper No(s).  So Patent and Trademark Office   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 21 March 2006 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,370,293 to Emmerich et al in view of U.S. Patent 6,567,600 to Yoshida.

In regards to claims 1, 6, 14 and 21, Emmerich et al discloses a flexible optical circuit comprising a length of optical fiber secured to a partially flexible substrate. But Emmerich et al fails to specifically disclose the substrate to comprise a heating element to maintain a constant temperature. However, Yoshida et al also discloses optical fibers secured to an optical substrate and further discloses the substrate to include thin plates capable of eating and cooling any component in order to keep the substrate at a constant temperature. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have included a heating element in the flexible circuit in the substrate, since it would be desirable

Application/Control Number: 10/730,460

Art Unit: 2874

to maintain the circuit at a constant temperature in order to prevent the circuit from operating at a lower standard due to temperature changes, such as overheating. Furthermore, although Emmerich et al fails to specifically disclose a length of pre-fabricated optical fiber, Emmerich et al would need to decide a length of fiber to be formed on the substrate. Additionally, Emmerich et al discloses the fiber to be secured to the substrate and therefore must be pre-fabricated in order to be secured to the substrate. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have used a length of pre-fabricated optical fiber.

In regards to claims 2-5, 17, 22-25 and 28-31, although Emmerich et al fails to specifically disclose temperature sensors, such as a thermistor, thermocouple or a resistance temperature detector, a sensor would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art in order to the heating elements to determine if the circuit needs to be cooled or heated to maintain a constant temperature. Furthermore, Yoshida discloses an example of a temperature sensor to be a thermistor temperature sensor.

In regards to claim 10, Emmerich et al and Yoshida fail to specifically disclose a temperature sensor embedded within the heating element. However, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have embedded the sensor within the heating element since the heating element relies on the sensor to know whether the circuit needs to be cooled or heated in order to maintain a preferable constant temperature.

In regard to claims 7-9, 11-13, 15-16, 18-20 and 26-27, Emmerich et al discloses multiple optical fibers to be secured to a first and second surface of the substrate.

Art Unit: 2874

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-31, received 21 March 2006 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

## Inventorship

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tina M. Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2352. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,460

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUNG PAK

Page 5